



ASSESSMENT REVIEW BOARD

MAIN FLOOR CITY HALL
1 SIR WINSTON CHURCHILL SQUARE
EDMONTON AB T5J 2R7
(780) 496-5026 FAX (780) 496-8199

NOTICE OF DECISION NO. 0098 491/10

Altus Group Ltd
17327 - 106A Avenue
Edmonton AB T5S 1M7

The City of Edmonton
Assessment and Taxation Branch
600 Chancery Hall
3 Sir Winston Churchill Square
Edmonton AB T5J 2C3

This is a decision of the Composite Assessment Review Board (CARB) from a hearing held between August 23 and October 21, 2010 respecting a complaint for:

Roll Number 9561887	Municipal Address 4304 76 Avenue NW	Legal Description Plan: 7520086 Block: 5 Lot: 5
Assessed Value \$4,067,500	Assessment Type Annual - New	Assessment Notice for: 2010

Before:

Tom Robert, Presiding Officer
Dale Doan, Board Member
Mary Sheldon, Board Member

Board Officer:

Segun Kaffo

Persons Appearing: Complainant

Chris Buchanan

Persons Appearing: Respondent

Suzanne Magdiak, Assessor
Cherie Skolney, Assessor
Tanya Smith, Law Branch

PROCEDURAL MATTERS

Upon questioning by the Presiding Officer, the parties indicated no objection to the composition of the Board. In addition, the Board members indicated no bias with respect to the file.

All parties giving evidence during the proceedings were sworn by the Board Officer.

PRELIMINARY MATTERS

Before the commencement of the hearing, the Respondent indicated that the subject property should have received a downward adjustment in its assessment as a result of the configuration of

the building on the property. Accordingly, the Respondent recommended that the assessment for the subject be reduced from \$4,067,500 to \$3,660,750 to account for this adjustment. The Complainant agreed with this recommendation.

LEGISLATION

The *Municipal Government Act*, R.S.A. 2000, c. M-26;

s.467(1) *An assessment review board may, with respect to any matter referred to in section 460(5), make a change to an assessment roll or tax roll or decide that no change is required.*

s.467(3) *An assessment review board must not alter any assessment that is fair and equitable, taking into consideration*

- a) the valuation and other standards set out in the regulations,*
- b) the procedures set out in the regulations, and*
- c) the assessments of similar property or businesses in the same municipality.*

DECISION

The Board concluded that, pursuant to the agreement between the parties, the 2010 assessment of the subject should be reduced from \$4,067,500 to \$3,660,500.

DISSENTING OPINION AND REASONS

There was no dissenting opinion.

Dated this 10th day of November, 2010, at the City of Edmonton, in the Province of Alberta.

Presiding Officer

This Decision may be appealed to the Court of Queen's Bench on a question of law or jurisdiction, pursuant to Section 470(1) of the Municipal Government Act, R.S.A. 2000, c.M-26.

CC: Municipal Government Board
York Realty Inc.